Journal of Engineering Research and Application
http://dx.doi.org/10.55953/JERA.2023.2202
Volume 2, Dec. 2023
Page Number 7-18

A Review on Effect of Construction Industries on Ambient Air

Manvendra Verma[1*], Shailendra Kumar Yadav[2]
[1*] Department of Civil Engineering, GLA University, Mathura, Uttar Pradesh, India.
mv075415@gmail.com
[2] Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India.
shailendra.es@email.bbau.ac.in

Abstract: The exponential growth of construction industries worldwide has brought about remarkable advancements in infrastructure, but it has also raised concerns about the environmental impact on ambient air quality. This manuscript explores the complex dynamics between construction activities and the quality of the air we breathe. Through a comprehensive examination of sources, pollutants, and potential solutions, this work aims to contribute to a deeper understanding of the challenges posed by the construction industry and the imperative for sustainable practices. The escalating growth of global construction industries has brought forth unprecedented advancements in infrastructure development. However, this progress has not been without consequences, particularly in terms of its impact on ambient air quality. This manuscript comprehensively explores the multifaceted interactions between construction activities and the quality of the air we breathe. Through an in-depth analysis of pollution sources, types of pollutants, mechanisms of dispersion, and their consequential impacts on human health and the environment, this work aims to contribute to a nuanced understanding of the challenges at hand. Moreover, it delves into potential mitigation strategies and presents case studies to underscore practical applications, providing a roadmap for sustainable development in the construction sector.

Keywords: Civil Engineering; Construction Industries; Air Pollution; Ambient air

1. Introduction

The rapid urbanization and burgeoning global population have fuelled an unprecedented surge in construction activities, shaping skylines and transforming landscapes. While the construction industry plays a pivotal role in the development of infrastructure and economies, it is not without its environmental consequences. One of the most critical and far-reaching impacts is the alteration of ambient air quality [1]. As construction activities continue to escalate worldwide, understanding and mitigating the effects on the ambient air have become imperative for sustainable development [2], [3].

The construction industry encompasses a spectrum of activities, ranging from the excavation of raw materials to the erection of structures, each stage contributing to the release of diverse pollutants into

the atmosphere [4]–[6]. These pollutants, including particulate matter, volatile organic compounds (VOCs), nitrogen oxides (NOx), and sulfur dioxide (SO2), can significantly compromise air quality and, consequently, human health [7]. The intricate interplay between construction activities and ambient air quality requires a comprehensive examination of the sources, mechanisms, and potential solutions to address the environmental challenges posed by this burgeoning industry [8], [9]. This exploration delves into the multifaceted dimensions of the effect of construction industries on ambient air, unravelling the intricate web of interactions between human development and environmental impact [10]. By scrutinizing the diverse facets of construction-related air pollution, we aim to shed light on the environmental repercussions, identify potential risks, and propose sustainable practices and technologies to mitigate the adverse effects. In doing so, we strive to contribute to the ongoing dialogue on balancing progress with environmental responsibility and fostering a harmonious coexistence between construction activities and the preservation of our planet's air quality [11], [12].

The introduction provides a backdrop to the significant role played by the construction industry in global development. It outlines the escalating concerns related to the industry's impact on ambient air quality and sets the stage for an in-depth exploration of the subject [2]. The introduction establishes the pivotal role of the construction industry in global development and its simultaneous contribution to environmental concerns, specifically regarding ambient air quality [13], [14]. It emphasizes the necessity of addressing these issues to achieve a harmonious balance between progress and environmental preservation [15], [16].

2. Sources of Pollution and Types of Pollutants

This section meticulously dissects the various stages of construction activities, elucidating the diverse sources of air pollution. From raw material extraction to on-site operations, it delineates how each phase contributes to the release of pollutants into the atmosphere [17]. This section dissects the various stages of construction activities and their contributions to air pollution. From excavation and transportation of raw materials to the use of heavy machinery and the final construction phase, each step introduces different pollutants into the atmosphere. Understanding these sources is crucial for devising targeted mitigation strategies [3], [18].

Building upon the sources, this section provides an in-depth analysis of the types of pollutants associated with construction activities. Particulate matter, volatile organic compounds, nitrogen oxides, and other contaminants are explored, emphasizing their unique characteristics and potential implications for air quality [4], [19]. Here, the manuscript delves into the diverse range of pollutants emitted during construction processes. Particulate matter, volatile organic compounds, nitrogen oxides, and sulfur dioxide are among the key contaminants explored [5]. The discussion includes their sources, characteristics, and potential health and environmental implications. The global surge in construction activities, driven by urbanization and infrastructure development, has undeniably transformed our landscapes and

skylines [20]. While these developments are synonymous with progress, they come at a cost to the environment, particularly in terms of air quality. Construction industries are prolific generators of a diverse array of pollutants, each with its unique characteristics and potential implications for both human health and the ecosystem [21], [22]. This introduction aims to shed light on the types of pollutants emanating from construction activities, providing a foundational understanding of the environmental challenges associated with this indispensable sector [7], [23].

Construction processes, from the extraction of raw materials to the final stages of building erection, introduce a plethora of pollutants into the ambient air. These pollutants encompass particulate matter, volatile organic compounds (VOCs), nitrogen oxides (NOx), sulfur dioxide (SO2), carbon monoxide (CO), and various other chemical compounds. Each category of pollutant originates from distinct sources within the construction lifecycle, contributing to the complexity of the environmental impact [24], [25].

Particulate matter, often released during excavation and construction activities, consists of fine particles suspended in the air. These particles vary in size and composition, presenting inhalation risks and potential respiratory health effects for both workers and nearby communities. Volatile organic compounds, emitted from construction materials and machinery, contribute to air pollution and may have long-term implications for air quality and human health [8], [9].

Nitrogen oxides and sulfur dioxide, arising from the combustion of fossil fuels in construction equipment, pose significant threats to both air quality and public health. These pollutants are notorious contributors to acid rain, respiratory ailments, and the formation of ground-level ozone. Additionally, carbon monoxide, a byproduct of incomplete combustion, can accumulate in confined construction spaces, presenting acute health risks to workers [26], [27].

As we navigate the intricate landscape of construction-related pollutants, it becomes apparent that an in-depth understanding of their sources, characteristics, and potential impacts is crucial for developing effective mitigation strategies. This exploration into the types of pollutants generated by construction industries sets the stage for a comprehensive examination of their environmental repercussions, fostering awareness and laying the groundwork for sustainable practices in the construction sector. In the subsequent sections of this study, we will delve into each category of pollutant, unravelling their complexities and implications, with the ultimate aim of contributing to a more environmentally conscious and responsible approach to construction activities [6], [28], [29].

3. Mechanisms of Dispersion

Here, the manuscript examines the intricate mechanisms through which construction-related pollutants disperse in the ambient air. Meteorological factors, topography, and atmospheric conditions are discussed to provide insights into the dispersion patterns and spatial reach of pollutants. This section elucidates how construction-related pollutants disperse in the ambient air [30], [31]. Meteorological factors, local

topography, and atmospheric conditions play vital roles in determining the extent and trajectory of pollution. A comprehensive understanding of these mechanisms is essential for predicting and managing air quality impacts. The transformative impact of construction industries on our urban landscapes and infrastructure is undeniable, yet the environmental consequences of these activities extend beyond the construction site itself. Central to the ecological footprint of construction is the intricate process of pollutant dispersion in the surrounding ambient air [32]. Understanding the mechanisms through which construction-related pollutants disseminate into the atmosphere is essential for comprehending the full scope of their impact on air quality and public health. This introduction seeks to illuminate the complex dynamics of dispersion, providing a foundational insight into the ways in which construction industries contribute to alterations in the spatial distribution of pollutants [10].

Construction activities unleash a diverse array of pollutants, including particulate matter, volatile organic compounds (VOCs), nitrogen oxides (NOx), and other chemical byproducts, into the immediate environment. However, the journey of these pollutants does not end at their point of origin. Meteorological factors, local topography, and atmospheric conditions play pivotal roles in determining the extent and trajectory of dispersion, shaping the spatial distribution of construction-related pollutants [33].

Meteorological factors such as wind speed and direction influence the movement of pollutants away from the construction site. High winds can carry particulate matter and airborne contaminants over considerable distances, impacting areas far beyond the immediate vicinity of construction activities. Conversely, low wind speeds may contribute to localized pollutant accumulation, heightening exposure risks for nearby communities [34], [35].

The local topography further complicates the dispersion dynamics. Valleys, hills, and buildings can act as barriers or channels for pollutants, influencing their concentration and distribution. Urban canyons, formed by tall structures on either side of a street, may trap pollutants and impede their dispersal, exacerbating air quality issues in specific areas [36].

Atmospheric conditions, including temperature inversions and stability, also exert a significant influence. Inversion layers can trap pollutants near the ground, leading to the accumulation of contaminants in lower atmospheric layers. Stability conditions impact the vertical mixing of pollutants, determining how effectively they disperse into the upper atmosphere [37].

This exploration into the mechanisms of dispersion by construction industries serves as a preamble to a deeper investigation into the environmental consequences of altered air quality patterns. By unraveling the complexities of how pollutants navigate the atmospheric landscape, we lay the groundwork for understanding the spatial reach and potential impacts on communities and ecosystems. In the subsequent sections of this study, we will delve into specific meteorological and topographical considerations, elucidating their role in shaping the dispersion patterns of construction-related pollutants and fostering a holistic

understanding of the environmental footprint of construction activities.

4. Impact on Human Health

This section delves into the direct and indirect health consequences of exposure to construction-related air pollutants. Respiratory and cardiovascular effects, along with potential long-term health risks, are discussed, highlighting the urgency of mitigating these impacts to safeguard public health. The manuscript assesses the direct and indirect effects of construction-related air pollution on human health. Respiratory issues, cardiovascular diseases, and other health concerns associated with exposure to construction pollutants are examined, emphasizing the urgency of adopting measures to protect public well-being. The relentless pace of global urbanization and infrastructure development, spearheaded by the construction industry, has undoubtedly propelled societies towards progress and modernity. However, this surge in construction activities is not without its consequences, and perhaps one of the most critical concerns is its impact on human health [38]–[43]. The intricate relationship between construction industries and public well-being is underscored by the release of a myriad of pollutants into the air, soil, and water during various stages of construction processes. This introduction aims to illuminate the multifaceted impact of construction industries on human health, emphasizing the urgent need for a holistic understanding of the risks posed by these activities.

Construction sites are dynamic environments characterized by the operation of heavy machinery, excavation, material processing, and the deployment of various construction materials. Each of these activities contributes to the release of pollutants that can have direct and indirect implications for the health of both workers and nearby communities. Particulate matter, a common byproduct of construction activities, poses respiratory risks as fine particles can be inhaled, potentially leading to respiratory diseases such as asthma, bronchitis, and other pulmonary disorders.

Volatile organic compounds (VOCs), emitted from construction materials such as paints, adhesives, and sealants, can have both short-term and long-term health effects. Short-term exposure may cause eye, nose, and throat irritation, while prolonged exposure could contribute to the development of chronic conditions and pose carcinogenic risks. Furthermore, pollutants like nitrogen oxides (NOx) and sulfur dioxide (SO2), arising from the combustion of fossil fuels in construction machinery, not only compromise air quality but are also linked to respiratory and cardiovascular diseases.

In addition to airborne pollutants, construction activities can result in noise pollution, which has been associated with stress, sleep disturbances, and an increased risk of cardiovascular diseases. The occupational hazards faced by construction workers, including exposure to hazardous materials, ergonomic challenges, and accidents, further amplify the impact on human health within the industry itself.

As we navigate the intricate landscape of health risks associated with construction industries, it becomes evident that a comprehensive understanding of these challenges is imperative for

the formulation of effective mitigation strategies. In subsequent sections, we will delve deeper into the specific pollutants and their health implications, exploring potential solutions and highlighting the importance of adopting sustainable practices in the construction sector. By addressing the impact of construction industries on human health, we aim to foster a dialogue that prioritizes both developmental progress and the well-being of communities, advocating for a balanced and sustainable approach to construction activities worldwide.

5. Environmental Consequences

Beyond human health, the manuscript explores the broader environmental consequences of construction-related air pollution. Ecosystem impacts, soil degradation, and potential effects on biodiversity are examined, emphasizing the interconnectedness of environmental systems. Beyond human health, the manuscript discusses the broader environmental consequences of construction-related air pollution. Ecosystem impacts, soil contamination, and the potential long-term effects on biodiversity are explored, highlighting the interconnectedness of environmental systems. The global landscape is continuously reshaped by the relentless expansion of construction industries, leaving behind skylines of progress and infrastructural marvels. Yet, amid the remarkable advancements brought about by these activities, there is a pressing concern – the profound impact on the environment. Construction industries, vital drivers of economic growth and urban development, wield a dual-edged sword, with their activities contributing to a spectrum of environmental consequences. This introduction seeks to unravel the intricate web of these consequences, emphasizing the urgent need for a conscientious approach to balance developmental aspirations with environmental stewardship [21], [25].

The environmental footprint of construction industries extends beyond the visible structures they create, permeating air, soil, and water ecosystems. Construction activities give rise to a myriad of pollutants, including particulate matter, volatile organic compounds (VOCs), nitrogen oxides (NOx), and various chemical compounds, each with the potential to disrupt ecological harmony. Particulate matter, often emitted during excavation and construction processes, can settle on land and water surfaces, impacting soil quality and aquatic ecosystems [44], [45].

The alteration of air quality is a significant consequence, with construction-related pollutants contributing to smog formation, acid rain, and ground-level ozone. Such alterations not only affect the health of human populations but also pose a threat to plant and animal life. Moreover, construction activities can lead to the release of heavy metals and toxic substances into the environment, contributing to soil contamination and potentially causing long-term damage to terrestrial ecosystems [18], [19], [28].

Water bodies are not immune to the repercussions of construction industries. Runoff from construction sites, laden with sediments, chemicals, and other pollutants, can compromise the quality of nearby rivers, lakes, and oceans. Excessive sedimentation may disrupt aquatic habitats, while the release of chemical pollutants

can harm aquatic life and compromise water quality for human consumption [2], [3], [10].

In addition to direct environmental impacts, habitat destruction and fragmentation during construction can exacerbate the loss of biodiversity. As natural landscapes are transformed into urban environments, ecosystems face challenges in adapting to these changes, potentially leading to the decline or extinction of certain species [23].

As we embark on an exploration of the environmental consequences wrought by construction industries, this study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the challenges posed. In subsequent sections, we will delve into the specific environmental impacts, exploring potential mitigation strategies and advocating for sustainable practices. By addressing the environmental consequences of construction industries, we hope to catalyze a paradigm shift towards responsible and eco-conscious development, ensuring that the legacy of construction activities is one that harmonizes with, rather than exploits, the delicate balance of our planet's ecosystems [23].

6. Mitigation Strategies

This critical section outlines a range of strategies and technologies aimed at mitigating the adverse effects of construction activities on ambient air quality. Sustainable construction practices, innovative materials, and advanced pollution control technologies are discussed, providing a comprehensive framework for industry stakeholders and policymakers. This section outlines various strategies and technologies aimed at mitigating the adverse effects of construction activities on ambient air. Sustainable construction practices, green technologies, and regulatory frameworks are discussed, emphasizing the need for a holistic approach to balance developmental goals with environmental preservation [15].

Drawing from real-world examples, this section presents case studies that exemplify successful implementation of mitigation strategies. These cases offer practical insights into the effectiveness and feasibility of various approaches, serving as valuable lessons for diverse geographical and industrial contexts. The manuscript includes case studies that exemplify successful implementation of mitigation strategies in different regions. These real-world examples provide insights into the feasibility and effectiveness of various approaches, offering practical guidance for policymakers, industry professionals, and researchers [23].

7. Future Directions:

The manuscript concludes by outlining potential future directions for research and development in the realm of construction-related air pollution. Emerging technologies, international collaborations, and evolving regulatory frameworks are proposed as avenues to foster sustainable practices in the construction industry [46]–[51]. The conclusion outlines potential avenues for future research and development. Areas such as innovative construction materials, advanced pollution control technologies, and international collaborations are proposed as avenues to further advance sustainable practices in the construction industry [17].

8. Conclusion

In summary, this manuscript provides a comprehensive overview of the intricate relationship between construction industries and ambient air quality. By elucidating the complexities of pollution sources, types, and dispersion mechanisms, as well as exploring the consequential impacts on human health and the environment, it serves as a valuable resource for researchers, policymakers, and practitioners working towards a more sustainable and environmentally conscious future in the construction sector. The manuscript concludes by summarizing key findings, emphasizing the urgent need for sustainable practices in the construction industry to safeguard ambient air quality. It calls for a collective effort from stakeholders, including governments, industries, and communities, to address the environmental challenges posed by construction activities and foster a harmonious coexistence with the planet. This manuscript aims to serve as a comprehensive resource for researchers, policymakers, and practitioners seeking a deeper understanding of the intricate relationship between construction industries and ambient air quality, ultimately guiding the development of sustainable solutions for the future.

References

[1] K. McNeil and T. H. K. Kang, "Recycled Concrete Aggregates: A Review," *Int. J. Concr. Struct. Mater.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 61–69, 2013, doi: 10.1007/s40069-013-0032-5.

[2] R. Kumar, "Mechanical properties, shrinkage, abrasion resistance and carbonation of concrete containing recycled coarse aggregate of different size range," *Sustain. Constr. Mater. Technol.*, vol. 1, no. July, 2019, doi: 10.18552/2019/idscmt5014.

[3] F. U. A. Shaikh, F. Uddin, and A. Shaikh, "Mechanical and durability properties of fly ash geopolymer concrete containing recycled coarse aggregates," *Int. J. Sustain. Built Environ.*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 277–287, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.ijsbe.2016.05.009.

[4] B. Qi, J. M. Gao, and D. M. Shen, "Chloride Resistance of Recycled Aggregate Concrete under Wetting-Drying Cycles," *Key Eng. Mater.*, vol. 724, pp. 3–7, Dec. 2016, doi: 10.4028/www.scientific.net/KEM.724.3.

[5] M. T. Ali, I. Rahman, N. Dev, and P. Singh, "Evaluation of the Feasibility of Recycled Concrete Aggregate for Producing Structural Concrete," *E3S Web Conf.*, vol. 220, 2020, doi: 10.1051/e3sconf/202022001098.

[6] A. Mohammadinia *et al.*, "Stabilization of Demolition Materials for Pavement Base / Subbase Applications Using Fly Ash and Slag Geopolymers : Laboratory Investigation," *J. Mater. Civ. Eng.*, vol. 28, no. 7, 2016, doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)MT.1943-5533.0001526.

[7] K. Tamanna, M. Tiznobaik, N. Banthia, and M. Shahria Alam, "Mechanical properties of rubberized concrete containing recycled concrete aggregate," *ACI Mater. J.*, vol. 117, no. 3, pp. 169–180, 2020, doi: 10.14359/51722409.

[8] K. P. Verian, W. Ashraf, and Y. Cao, "Properties of recycled concrete aggregate and their influence in new concrete production," *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.*, vol. 133, no. February, pp. 30–49, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.resconrec.2018.02.005.

[9] O. Sanusi, B. Tempest, V. O. Ogunro, and J. Gergely, "Leaching Characteristics of Geopolymer Cement Concrete Containing Recycled Concrete Aggregates," *J. Hazardous,*

Toxic, Radioact. Waste, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 1–8, 2016, doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)HZ.2153-5515.0000312.

[10] S. D. Vemu Venkata Praveen Kumar, Naga Prasad, "Influence of metakaolin on strength and durability characteristics of ground granulated blast furnace slag based geopolymer concrete," *Struct. Concr.*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 1040–1050, 2020, doi: 10.1002/suco.201900415.

[11] Y. H. M. Amran, R. Alyousef, H. Alabduljabbar, and M. El-Zeadani, "Clean production and properties of geopolymer concrete; A review," *J. Clean. Prod.*, vol. 251, p. 119679, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119679.

[12] G. G. Goswami *et al.*, "Why did India Pull Out of Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP)? A Gravity Explanation of the Indian Puzzle," *Environ. Dev. Sustain.*, vol. 10, no. 03, pp. 1140–1155, 2023.

[13] P. Nuaklong, V. Sata, A. Wongsa, K. Srinavin, and P. Chindaprasirt, "Recycled aggregate high calcium fly ash geopolymer concrete with inclusion of OPC and nano-SiO 2," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 174, pp. 244–252, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.04.123.

[14] K. H. Younis and S. M. Mustafa, "Feasibility of Using Nanoparticles of SiO2 to Improve the Performance of Recycled Aggregate Concrete," *Adv. Mater. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 2018, pp. 3–5, 2018, doi: 10.1155/2018/1512830.

[15] T. C. Ling, C. S. Poon, and S. C. Kou, "Influence of recycled glass content and curing conditions on the properties of self-compacting concrete after exposure to elevated temperatures," *Cem. Concr. Compos.*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 265–272, 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2011.08.010.

[16] F. Aslani, A. Deghani, and Z. Asif, "Development of Lightweight Rubberized Geopolymer Concrete by Using Polystyrene and Recycled Crumb-Rubber Aggregates," *J. Mater. Civ. Eng.*, vol. 32, no. 2, p. 04019345, 2020, doi: 10.1061/(asce)mt.1943-5533.0003008.

[17] F. J. H. T. Vieira Ramos, R. H. M. Reis, I. Grafova, A. Grafov, and S. N. Monteiro, "Eco-friendly recycled polypropylene matrix composites incorporated with geopolymer concrete waste particles," *J. Mater. Res. Technol.*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 3084–3090, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.jmrt.2020.01.054.

[18] P. Nuaklong, P. Jongvivatsakul, T. Pothisiri, V. Sata, and P. Chindaprasirt, "Influence of rice husk ash on mechanical properties and fire resistance of recycled aggregate high-calcium fly ash geopolymer concrete," *J. Clean. Prod.*, vol. 252, p. 119797, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119797.

[19] H. Nazarpour and M. Jamali, "Mechanical and freezing cycles properties of geopolymer concrete with recycled aggregate," *Struct. Concr.*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 1004–1012, 2020, doi: 10.1002/suco.201900317.

[20] Z. Liu, C. S. Cai, H. Peng, and F. Fan, "Experimental Study of the Geopolymeric Recycled Aggregate Concrete," *J. Mater. Civ. Eng.*, vol. 28, no. 9, pp. 1–9, 2016, doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)MT.1943-5533.0001584.

[21] B. B. Mukharjee and S. V. Barai, "Influence of Nano-Silica on the properties of recycled aggregate concrete," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 55, pp. 29–37, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2014.01.003.

[22] A. Kashani, T. D. Ngo, P. Hemachandra, and A. Hajimohammadi, "Effects of surface treatments of recycled tyre crumb on cement-rubber

bonding in concrete composite foam," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 171, pp. 467–473, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.03.163.

[23] D. D. S. R. M. K.Thangamani Bindhu, M. K. T. Bindhu, D. S. Ramachandra Murthy, and D. D. S. R. M. K.Thangamani Bindhu, "FLEXURAL BEHAVIOUR OF REINFORCED GEOPOLYMER CONCRETE BEAMS PARTIALLY REPLACED WITH RECYCLED COARSE AGGREGATES," *Int. J. Civ. Eng. Technol.*, vol. 6, no. 7, pp. 12–21, 2015, [Online]. Available: www.jifactor.com

[24] S. Mesgari, A. Akbarnezhad, and J. Z. Xiao, "Recycled geopolymer aggregates as coarse aggregates for Portland cement concrete and geopolymer concrete: Effects on mechanical properties," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 236, p. 117571, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.117571.

[25] J. Xie, W. Chen, J. Wang, C. Fang, B. Zhang, and F. Liu, "Coupling effects of recycled aggregate and GGBS/metakaolin on physicochemical properties of geopolymer concrete," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 226, pp. 345–359, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.07.311.

[26] P. Nuaklong, V. Sata, and P. Chindaprasirt, "Properties of metakaolin-high calcium fly ash geopolymer concrete containing recycled aggregate from crushed concrete specimens," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 161, pp. 365–373, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.11.152.

[27] S. Erdem, S. Hanbay, and Z. Güler, "Micromechanical damage analysis and engineering performance of concrete with colloidal nano-silica and demolished concrete aggregates," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 171, pp. 634–642, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.03.197.

[28] J. Turk, Z. Cotič, A. Mladenovič, and A. Šajna, "Environmental evaluation of green concretes versus conventional concrete by means of LCA," *Waste Manag.*, vol. 45, pp. 194–205, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.wasman.2015.06.035.

[29] T. R. Naik, "Sustainability of Concrete Construction," *Pract. Period. Struct. Des. Constr.*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 98–103, 2008, doi: 10.1061/(asce)1084-0680(2008)13:2(98).

[30] M. P. Sureshkumar, M. Visakan, and P. G. Student, "Experimental Investigation on Applications of Nanomaterials in Concrete," *Int. J. Struct. Civ. Eng. Res.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 1–9, 2017, [Online]. Available: www.ijirset.com

[31] R. Bušić, I. Miličević, T. Dokšanović, and M. Grubišić, "Durability Performance and Thermal Resistance of Structural Self-Compacting Concrete Improved with Waste Rubber and Silica Fume," *Buildings*, vol. 13, no. 5, 2023, doi: 10.3390/buildings13051331.

[32] M. T. Islam, M. Islam, A. Siddika, and M. A. Al Mamun, "Performance of rubberized concrete exposed to chloride solution and continuous wet–dry cycle," *Innov. Infrastruct. Solut.*, vol. 6, no. 2, p. 67, Jun. 2021, doi: 10.1007/s41062-020-00451-3.

[33] P. K. Mehta and P. J. M. Monteiro, *Concrete Microstructure, Properties, and Materials*, vol. 4. 2014.

[34] I. Journal and O. Engineering, "Flexural Behaviour of Self Compacting Self Curing Concrete Beam," vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 37–40, 2015.

[35] EFNARC, "Specification and Guidelines for Self-Compacting Concrete," 2002. [Online]. Available:

http://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&btnG=Search&q=intitle:Specification+and+Guidelines+for+Self-Compacting+Concrete#0

[36] M. H. B. de Souza *et al.*, "Influence of Superabsorbent Polymer in Self-Compacting Mortar," *Buildings*, vol. 13, no. 7, 2023, doi: 10.3390/buildings13071640.

[37] M. A. Khan, S. A. Memon, F. Farooq, M. F. Javed, F. Aslam, and R. Alyousef, "Compressive Strength of Fly-Ash-Based Geopolymer Concrete by Gene Expression Programming and Random Forest," *Adv. Civ. Eng.*, vol. 2021, p. 6618407, 2021, doi: 10.1155/2021/6618407.

[38] M. Verma, N. Dev, I. Rahman, M. Nigam, M. Ahmed, and J. Mallick, "Geopolymer Concrete: A Material for Sustainable Development in Indian Construction Industries," *Crystals*, vol. 12, no. 2022, p. 514, 2022, doi: 10.3390/cryst12040514.

[39] M. Verma and N. Dev, "Review on the effect of different parameters on behavior of Geopolymer Concrete," *Int. J. Innov. Res. Sci. Eng. Technol.*, vol. 6, no. 6, pp. 11276–281, 2017, doi: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2017.0606210.

[40] M. Verma and N. Dev, "Effect of ground granulated blast furnace slag and fly ash ratio and the curing conditions on the mechanical properties of geopolymer concrete," *Struct. Concr.*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 2015–2029, 2022, doi: 10.1002/suco.202000536.

[41] M. Verma and N. Dev, "Effect of Superplasticiser on Physical, Chemical and Mechanical Properties of the Geopolymer Concrete," in *Second ASCE India Conference on " Challenges of Resilient and Sustainable Infrastructure Development in Emerging Economies" (CRSIDE2020)*, 2020, pp. 1183–1189.

[42] M. Verma and N. Dev, "Sodium hydroxide effect on the mechanical properties of flyash-slag based geopolymer concrete," *Struct. Concr.*, vol. 22, no. S1, pp. E368–E379, 2021, doi: 10.1002/suco.202000068.

[43] M. Verma and M. Nigam, "Mechanical Behaviour of Self Compacting and Self Curing Concrete," *Int. J. Innov. Res. Sci. Eng. Technol.*, vol. 6, no. 7, pp. 14361–366, 2017, doi: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2017.0607245.

[44] A. Islam, U. J. Alengaram, M. Z. Jumaat, I. I. Bashar, and S. M. A. A. Kabir, "Engineering properties and carbon footprint of ground granulated blast- furnace slag-palm oil fuel ash-based structural geopolymer concrete," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 101, no. 2015, pp. 503–521, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.10.026.

[45] K. A. Komnitsas, "Procedia Engineering Potential of geopolymer technology towards green buildings and sustainable cities," *Procedia Eng.*, 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.proeng.2011.11.2108.

[46] U. Sharma, N. Gupta, and M. Verma, "Prediction of compressive strength of GGBFS and Flyash-based geopolymer composite by linear regression, lasso regression, and ridge regression," *Asian J. Civ. Eng.*, no. 0123456789, 2023, doi: 10.1007/s42107-023-00721-2.

[47] U. Sharma, N. Gupta, and M. Verma, "Prediction of Compressive Strength of Geopolymer Concrete using Artificial Neural Network," *Asian J. Civ. Eng.*, pp. 1–14, 2023, doi: 10.1007/s42107-023-00678-2.

[48] K. Upreti *et al.*, "Prediction of Mechanical Strength by Using an Artificial Neural Network and Random Forest Algorithm," *J. Nanomater.*,

vol. 2022, pp. 1–12, 2022, doi: DOI: 10.1155/2022/7791582.

[49] M. Nigam and M. Verma, “Effect of Nano-Silica on the Fresh and Mechanical Properties of Conventional Concrete,” *Forces Mech.*, vol. 10, no. 22, p. 100165, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.finmec.2022.100165.

[50] A. Chouksey, M. Verma, N. Dev, I. Rahman, and K. Upreti, “An investigation on the effect of curing conditions on the mechanical and microstructural properties of the geopolymer concrete,” *Mater. Res. Express*, vol. 9, no. 5, p. 55003, 2022, doi: 10.1088/2053-1591/ac6be0.

[51] N. Kumar, R. D. Raut, K. Upreti, M. S. Alam, M. Shafiuddin, and M. Verma, “Environmental Concern in TPB Model for Sustainable IT Adoption,” in *International Conference on Information Systems and Intelligent Applications, Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems 550*, 2023, pp. 59–70. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-16865-9_5.